SSHĀP

South Sound Housing Affordability Partners Executive Board Meeting Minutes

October 7, 2022 8:30 – 9:59 a.m.

Executive Mayor Nancy Backus, City of Auburn - present

Board: Councilmember Kevin Ballard, City of DuPont – present

Mayor Daryl Eidinger, City of Edgewood - present

Mayor Kim Roscoe, City of Fife - excused

Vice Chair, Councilmember Hunter George, City of Fircrest - present

Mayor Tracie Markley, City of Gig Harbor - present

Councilmember Linda Farmer, City of Lakewood - present Mayor Shanna Styron Sherrell, City of Milton - excused Executive Bruce Dammeier, Pierce County - present Councilmember Ryan Mello, Pierce County - present Deputy Mayor Ned Witting, City of Puyallup - present Councilmember Annette Bryan, Puyallup Tribe - present

Mayor Dick Muri, Town of Steilacoom - present

Councilmember Charla Neuman, City of Sumner - present Chair, Mayor Victoria Woodards, City of Tacoma - present

Councilmember Denise McCluskey, City of University Place – excused Mayor Steve Worthington, City of University Place (alternate) – present

Staff: Jason Gauthier, SSHA³P Manager

Trish Crocker, Grant Coordinator, Pierce County Human Services

Becki Foutz, Administrative Assistant

Guests: Laura Hodgson, Katie Baker, John Howell, Tiffany Speir, Eliza Blackorby, Cynthia Stewart, Kennith

George, Ryan Windish, Ted Richardson, Patti Spaulding-Klewin, Taylor Jones, Liz Crouse, Evan

Hietpas

MINUTES

TOPIC/ WHO	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Councilmember George called the meeting to order. SSHA ³ P Manager Gauthier called roll, per above; a quorum was present.	Wel- come!
	Executive Dammeier reported that the City of Fife and the whole community experienced a tragic loss yesterday morning when Fife Deputy City Manager, Russ Blount, was killed in a motorcycle collision. A moment of silence was observed in his memory.	Mr. Blount will be missed.

TOPIC/W	НО	DISCUSSION	ACTI	ION
Agenda	approve t adopted.	ions or changes to the agenda? Councilmember Ballard moved to the consent agenda. Mayor Backus seconded. The consent agenda	was a p n a c	Motion p- proved; po genda hanges.
	comment	ember George invited the public to comment, or to email Jason w s. (None.)	itti	
Needs Assessment Presentation -Laura Hodgson,	Managem Implement Implement Implement HB 1220 it past ten y require me housing continued to the following continued to the needs options were also to the needs options were remarked to the needs options were required to the ne	dgson, Senior Planner, from the Department of Commerce Growth nent Services, presented on <i>State-Projected Housing Needs: nting HB 1220</i> (laws of 2021). Is one of the biggest changes to the Growth Management Act in the rears. It significantly changed the housing element requirements, it is intentional planning for housing, to address the current risis. Industry the provide communities are now to plan for and addate housing affordable to all economic segments. Industry the provide projected housing need to local ents for moderate, low, very low, and extremely low-income distant for permanent supportive housing, emergency housing and cryshelters (referred to as special housing needs.) In it is providing projected housing needs at the County level, to be at with how OFM provides population projections at the County level that Counties and Cities will need some assistance as they divide hers, so they've provided a tool to help do that. Counties and Cities ork together to allocate the countywide housing needs projections are identified housing needs (this means that communities will need ensities that support housing at a variety of affordability levels and nough housing capacity at each density level that will accommodate of the various income brackets), provide moderate density housing thin urban growth areas (UGAs), document barriers to housing the provider housing locations in relation to employment locations.	tine to to the to the to the to the	nforma- ional

TOPIC/WHO **ACTION DISCUSSION**

Housing The planning must be done with periodic updates, not after, so Laura Needs encouraged planning departments to start working together and having Assessment allocation conversations, and to work with Commerce if guidance is needed, so **Presentation** the updates will be completed on time. The timeline's been extended from June -Laura 2024 to December 2024. Communities will need to identify barriers to meeting Hodgson, the housing needs, as well as actions needed to achieve the housing availability continued needed, including gaps in local funding, and development regulations.

tional

Informa-

Housing needs are projected using OFM population projection as a base, and accounting for household size, vacancy, and group quarters. Stakeholder groups provided input for special housing needs projections.

Pierce County has already adopted housing targets; we may use the numbers we already developed. County housing needs to be broken down to each city and town. An updated version of the projected housing needs and allocation tool, including projection methodology, will be posted on October 10, and feedback will be accepted for 30 days. The tool will now allow counties to enter custom future population targets and it will calculate county needs by income bracket. There are two methods: A, which focuses on new growth and B which focuses on the planning horizon year. King County's currently working on the process and they're leaning towards using a third, more customized model. If Pierce is interested in that model, Laura will connect us with people who are doing that work.

The draft numbers coming out on October 10 include projected "medium" special housing needs using 2017 OFM projections. Once 2022 projections are out, those will be used.

Next steps for jurisdictions:

- Identify local policies and regulations that result in racial equity
- Allocate countywide housing needs by income bracket
- Accommodate allocations by income bracket (land capacity)
- Document programs and actions needed to achieve housing availability
- Update comprehensive plan policies and regulations

There will be a webinar next month, a public review draft of land capacity guidance in November or December, and a public review draft of remaining policy pieces in January. They have plans to walk communities through this work; they did an Open House on that material, and the recording of it is on their website.

The comment period is until November 10. Laura shared the numbers if Pierce County were to use the Vision 2050 population target:

TOPIC/WHO **ACTION DISCUSSION**

Updated projected needs

Pierce County Population Target = 1,177,615		Affordability Level (% of Area Median Income)							Emergency
		0-30%							Housing/Shelter
	Total	Non-PSH	PSH	30-50%	50-80%	80-100%	100-120%	120%+	Beds
Total Future Housing Needed (2044)	493,590	23,518	23,898	49,529	130,055	84,022	56,827	125,742	9,480
Estimated Housing Supply (2020)*	355,799	9,089	1,038	36,738	112,533	72,435	46,088	77,878	1,444
Net New Housing Needed (2020-2044)	137,791	14,429	22,860	12,790	17,522	11,587	10,739	47,864	8,036

Housing Mayor Worthington asked who will be reviewing the housing elements. Laura Needs said that Commerce and PSRC will review them, but they don't certify the Assessment numbers. Commerce provides guidance to ensure governments are meeting the **Presentation** letter of the law, and revisions can be made. If there's a concern, it can be -Laural appealed and go to the He asked about the anomaly that came up. They were Hodgson, finding that there was a significant amount of need in the >120% income bracket continued and the 0-30% income bracket, and not much in between. They think that was because it's not taking into account cost burdening. There are a significant number of households spending a lot more than they should on housing. They're trying to figure out what the right numbers are.

> Councilmember Mello noted that we've started doing this work in Pierce County and have a level of detail and our numbers differ from theirs. We have a lot of work to do to provide a lot more housing, especially at the lower income bands. What would be the most efficient way for Pierce County to collectively try to negotiate or come to agreement on whose numbers we'll land on. Is it a letter?

> What are the existing conditions, and what are your goals – do you want more housing located near transit and amenities, or more spread out? Commerce has some framework and guiding ideas, as well as a bit more complicated alternate framework to allow communities to decide. King County's using three different factors to establish priorities.

Councilmember Mello explained that we've made progress in that area; what can be done about the discrepancies between our numbers and Commerce's numbers? Laura said that Pierce is in a unique situation, and she'll get back to us. She needs to have a better idea of where we are, and how the numbers are different. Councilmember Mello would like to be able to track progress, adjust policies and implementation. We don't have real time data.

Laura noted that Commerce recommends that communities set their own policies with monitoring and tracking processes. She suggested looking at what King County does.

Council member Mello will follow up with Laura.

TOPIC/WHO **ACTION DISCUSSION**

Housing Councilmember Ballard asked if there are any policies on the West coast around **Needs** anti-displacement that we can look at, and if so, do any touch on rural or Assessment suburban populations? Laura said that communities across the country are **Presentation** working on anti-displacement. There are a lot of good examples in Washington -Laura and Commerce has a list of them in their guidance materials. She's not sure if Hodgson, there's a lot on rural displacement. Short-term rentals are causing displacement continued in rural communities. She asked that we take a look at the guidance materials and let them know what's missing.

> Executive Dammeier is alternately encouraged and nervous. He agreed that we need to use more accountability. We all have a lot to work to do and shouldn't quibble over numbers. He feels that two other points made in the bill are particularly important: barriers to housing affordability and availability. Is Commerce soliciting feedback on that? There are some legislative actions that add to the cost of housing. We need to be sensitive as governments, when we're taking actions that add to the cost of housing, and timelines. Time is really important; is there anything we can do short-term to allow us to try to get housing units online much more quickly? We're way behind. We need to look at doing things very differently. Housing locations as related to employment locations (job housing balance) is way out of whack. He appreciates King County's efforts, however, Pierce County's been King County's housing availability for a long time. The plan's been "drive until you find something affordable," and that's not adequate.

> Mayor Backus reiterated that the State has added significantly to home costs. To balance local government budgets, they have had to adjust fees, so they look like the bad guys. Reducing permitting fees for affordable housing isn't a viable option right now. Each time the state, well-intentioned, adds additional significant costs to build a home, it's counter-productive to local governments' efforts. Housing went up \$30,000 overnight due to Washington State energy code changes.

> Mayor Muri related that a friend of his converted his garage to an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU,) and he'll have to spend a lot of money to bring it up to the new code requirements. If we want affordable housing, we must have affordable standards.

Thank you, Laura!

Laura invited member to email her with questions.

TOPIC/W	VHO	DISCUSSION	ACTION	
Resolution 2022-06 Legislative Priorities Jason Gauthier	Next, s begin i	Legislative Priorities Stability – no changes from September version Subsidy – change – clarifying extending 1406 remittance period to al with long-range planning horizons Supply – change – clarifying planning, including funding for Housing A Plan Implementation and Planned Action EIS Support/Monitor/Oppose – one change – Support creation of local revenue options to fund the development of affordable housing (months from priority section) upporting materials will be developed and meetings with legislators in November. Schared the legislative agenda and gave a shout-out to Kari Moore for ing an amazing look for SSHA ³ P's legislative agenda. moved to approve resolution 2022-06; Charla seconded.	vunanir ously to approve tion 2022-0 will Great job, Jason!	m- to ve u- 06.
	Agreen Agreen Vould recommand Counci conside voted content ahead Mayor inform	e – an annual work plan is a requirement of the Intergovernmental nent. Middle Housing Grant – work will continue through June 2023 – one deliverable is a strategy menu for policies and programs, including a displacement policies. The menu will be available in a web-based for Housing Toolkit – work with members to explore and implement recommendations Legislative Advocacy – 2023 is a long session; our goal is for SSHA3P recognized and relied upon as a trusted advisor Advisory Board – will be in place by April 2023 - this will be time-consuming FY24 Budget will need to be completed by Q2 the Board like to form a work group, as was done last year? What's mended timeline? What help does your government need? Imember Ballard's all for an executive committee on this. We should be that legislative policy's being looked at and, though not necessarily on and completed, it should inform our work plan, so we may be get of ourselves. Markley agreed with Councilmember Ballard. We don't have enough ation about what will come out of the legislative session. We can get be be a proper that legislative work plan would need to wait until after that.	anti- rmat. to be the y ting	na-

TOPIC/W	НО	DISCUSSION	AC	TION
Plan	wouldn	member George said that big things coming out of the legislature 't be implemented until late in the year; meanwhile our work plan vocontinue.	will	Discus- sion
Gauthier, continued	governi	member Bryan said it's important to know what each member ment's getting out of this, since we're putting something into it. Wh will we use to determine success?	at	Jason thanked the group for their
	meet to whether to meas have so countyve income would I maybe	ve Dammeier affirmed Councilmember Bryan's comments. We can't talk; we must show progress. He'd like to think about how to assess our work in the legislature is effective or ineffective. How are we gaure, look at specific Bills? Funding to Pierce County projects? We some accountability to our leg. Agenda, and he's looking for accountawide, for example, units produced by income band, or units permitted band. We must establish a culture in which we want to show result ove to see us come together around one or two policies to do thing based around ADUs. We need to show efforts yielding results. The member George supports having a work group and would like to be Backus and Mayor Markley indicated they'd like to be on it as well.	going hould ability ed by cs. He s,	input. He has one-on- one meet- ings sched- uled with most mem- bers.
Manager Report - Jason	seats or opening submitt	eferenced the policy board matrix in member packets. None of the solution four Boards are held by Pierce County residents. There's a non-voice on the PSRC Growth Management Policy Board, and SSHA3P will being an application for that seat as well as support a couple of other area organizations who are seeking that seat.	ting e	Informa- tional
	The app were no needs r	plicants SSHA3P supported for the Affordable Housing Advisory Boa ot successful in getting seated, but there's an open role for a special epresentative that we're working with a couple of organizations to d and support applications.	l	
	learning afforda	g goals memorandum – in packets – Jason outlined what SSHA3P wg over the next several months. Next month we'll be hearing from the housing development community, about barriers and cost drive per we'll hear from the market rate side.	he	
	forward	- interviews were held yesterday for Jason's staff and they're movin I with offering the position to someone who sits on a local planning ssion – exciting!	_	

TOPIC/WHO

ACTION

DISCUSSION

		_
	Memos – The group will see one, potentially two, memos asking the Board to	Informa
_	authorize some strategic, small, pre-development investments on some	tional
Report-		
	member cities to do some feasibility analyses on sites to help move the ball	
Gauthier,	down the field, to get the properties dispossessed and developed.	
continued		
	Mayor Worthington asked, regarding submitting names to sit on Boards, is there	
	a method to get supporting letters from organizations? Jason explained that in	
	August the Board entrusted Jason to write letters in support of applicants.	
	Executive Dammeier offered to write letters of support as well.	
Executive	Executive Dammeier and Councilmember Mello reported that \$17M in funds	
Board	have been awarded for affordable housing! The funding will generate close to	
Updates/	400 units, a range of units, including homeownership across the income bands,	
Comments	as well as permanent supported housing units.	
	Mayor Worthington said this was his first time in this meeting as alternate. He commended the group. He reported that the University Place Planning Commission is producing a housing action toolkit that's just about ready to come to Council. It would increase the number of units that would be allowed for short plat, from three to perhaps eight. This will reduce costs and move things forward.	
	Taylor Jones from the City of Fife announced that they amended a code to allow conversions of hotels and motels to microunit multi-family. They've issued	
	permits for one conversion thus far and in September two more motels have sold, so they anticipate three motels being converted to multi-family housing.	
	Councilmember Farmer moved to adjourn the meeting and Mayor Backus	Meeting
_	seconded. The meeting adjourned at 9:59 a.m.	ad-
Adjourn-		journed
		1

The next SSHA³P Executive Board meeting is scheduled for Friday, November 4, at 8:30 a.m. via Zoom.

Respectfully submitted,

Becki Foutz Administrative Assistant