#### South Sound Housing Affordability Partners Advisory Board

**Regular Meeting Agenda** 

3602 Pacific Ave Tacoma, WA 98418 | Muckleshoot Conference Room Dial: +1253 215 8782 Meeting ID: 982 0661 9590 Webinar Link: https://piercecountywa.zoom.us/j/98206619590

September 17, 2024 5:30 P.M.

Adria Buchanan, Alex Harrington, Ben Ferguson, Corey Orvold, Desniege Haywood, Faaluaina Pritchard, Isabella Rivera Kjaer, Jay Worley, Judson Willis, Nicholas Carr, Noemi Cagatin-Porter, Riley Guerrero, Tim Fairley, William Towey, Zac Baker

#### I. CALL TO ORDER

#### ROLL CALL

#### LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge that we are on the traditional homelands of the Coast Salish people. They have lived on and stewarded these lands since the beginning of time, and continue to do so today. We honor their legacy by:

- · Welcoming new ways of thinking about our relationship to the land
- Asking -- not assuming -- tribal preferences and needs
- Identifying opportunities to improve our collective stewardship

This board commits to these objectives.

#### II. REVIEW AGENDA/AGENDA MODIFICATIONS

#### III. CONSENT AGENDA

#### A. August 20, 2024 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Advisory Board Minutes

**<u>Purpose</u>**: Approval of minutes from the August 20, 2024 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Advisory Board meeting.

ATTACHMENTS: Minutes of August 20, 2024 Advisory Board meeting

Recommended Motion: Motion to approve the consent agenda.

5:30

#### IV. ACTION

#### A. Recommendation on Adoption of Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07

<u>**Purpose</u>**: Presentation from Mary Connolly, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Program Specialist II, on Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07, a recommendation to member governments on incorporating policies into their Comprehensive Plan periodic updates that incentivize construction of housing with universal design principles.</u>

<u>Action</u>: Advisory Board consideration of a motion to recommend to the Executive Board adoption of Resolution No. 2024-07.

**Recommended Motion:** "I move to recommend to the Executive Board adoption of Resolution No. 2024-07."

ATTACHMENTS: Agenda Memorandum: Recommendation on Adoption of Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07

Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07

Staff Report: Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07

Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07 Presentation

#### V. PRESENTATIONS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Draft 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan

**Purpose:** Presentation from Mary Connolly, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Program Specialist II, on a draft 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan, for the purpose of gathering feedback.

Action: Questions, feedback, direction.

ATTACHMENTS:	Agenda Memorandum: Draft 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan		
	Draft 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan Presentation		
	2024 Advisory Board Work Plan		

#### VI. PUBLIC COMMENT

This is the time set aside for the public to provide comment to the Advisory Board on the business of the Board. To request to speak virtually, please press the Raise Hand button near the bottom of your Zoom window or \*9 on your phone; if speaking in person, please sign in on the on the public comment form in the conference room. Your name or the last four digits of your phone number will be called out when it is your turn to speak.

The Advisory Board meeting can be heard by dialing 253-215-8782 and entering the Meeting ID 982 0661 9590 or through Zoom at

<u>https://piercecountywa.zoom.us/j/98206619590</u>. Written comments may be submitted to <u>mary.connolly@piercecountywa.gov</u> Tuesday before 4:00 p.m. for the Public Comment period. Comments will be compiled and sent to the Advisory Board and posted on the SSHA<sup>3</sup>P website at: <u>https://southsoundaffordablehousing.org</u>.

#### 

5:35

6:00

7:15

#### South Sound Housing Affordability Partners (SSHA<sup>3</sup>P)

#### **Advisory Board**

#### Meeting Norms

- 1. Challenge ideas, not individuals
- 2. Assume positive intent; assume responsibility for impact
- 3. Recognize it is more beneficial to share what you are thinking with the group during the discussion than with an individual afterwards
- 4. Listen with curiosity to what everyone has to say we all come with different perspectives and priorities that bring depth to the conversation
- 5. Be respectful and make space for minority opinions or points of view
- 6. During discussion, everyone has the opportunity to contribute before members contribute a second time
- 7. Raise hand to speak and wait for acknowledgement from the chair
- 8. Be open to new ways of thinking
- 9. Recognize the best efforts of our staff
- 10. Make our decisions based on the available information, and in the best interest of the Executive Board goals
- 11. Board members come prepared for each meeting

#### **ROBERTS RULES CHEAT SHEET**

То:	You say:	Interrupt Speaker	Second Needed	Debatable	Amendable	Vote Needed
Adjourn	"I move that we adjourn"	No	Yes	No	No	Majority
Recess	"I move that we recess until"	No	Yes	No	Yes	Majority
Complain about noise, room temp., etc.	"Point of privilege"	Yes	No	No	No	Chair Decides
Suspend further consideration of something	"I move that we table it"	No	Yes	No	No	Majority
End debate	"I move the previous question"	No	Yes	No	No	2/3
Postpone consideration of something	"I move we postpone this matter until"	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Majority
Amend a motion	"I move that this motion be amended by"	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Majority
Introduce business (a primary motion)	"I move that"	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Majority

The above listed motions and points are listed in established order of precedence. When any one of them is pending, you may not introduce another that is listed below, but you may introduce another that is listed above it.

То:	You say:	Interrupt Speaker	Second Needed	Debatable	Amendable	Vote Needed
Object to procedure or personal affront	"Point of order"	Yes	No	No	No	Chair decides
Request information	"Point of information"	Yes	No	No	No	None
Ask for vote by actual count to verify voice vote	"I call for a division of the house"	Must be done before new motion	No	No	No	None unless someone objects
Object to considering some undiplomatic or improper matter	"I object to consideration of this question"	Yes	No	No	No	2/3
Take up matter previously tabled	"I move we take from the table"	Yes	Yes	No	No	Majority
Reconsider something already disposed of	"I move we now (or later) reconsider our action relative to…"	Yes	Yes	Only if original motion was debatable	No	Majority
Consider something out of its scheduled order	"I move we suspend the rules and consider"	No	Yes	No	No	2/3
Vote on a ruling by the Chair	"I appeal the Chair's decision"	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Majority

The motions, points and proposals listed above have no established order of preference; any of them may be introduced at any time except when meeting is considering one of the top three matters listed from the first chart (Motion to Adjourn, Recess or Point of Privilege).

### SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Regular Advisory Board Meeting

August 20, 2024

**Members Present:** Adria Buchanan, Alex Harrington (Vice Chair), Desniege Haywood, Faaluaina Pritchard, Isabella Rivera Kjaer, Jay Worley (Vice Chair), Judson Willis, Noemi Cagatin-Porter, Nicholas Carr, Riley Guerrero (Chair), Tim Fairley, William Towey, Zac Baker

Members Excused: Ben Ferguson, Corey Orvold

#### Members Absent:

**Staff:** Mary Connolly, Jason Gauthier **Guests:** 

#### Call to Order

Riley called the meeting to order at 5:31 PM.

**Roll Call** Riley called roll; a quorum was present.

#### Land Acknowledgement

Riley read the land acknowledgement.

#### **Review Agenda/Agenda Modifications**

There were no requests for agenda modifications.

#### **Consent Agenda**

Faaluaina moved to approve the consent agenda. Adria seconded the motion. The motion carried with 13 in favor, 0 against, and 0 abstentions.

#### **Presentations and Discussion**

#### 2025 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P State and Federal Legislative Agendas

Jason Gauthier, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P manager, gave a presentation to the Advisory Board on the draft SSHA<sup>3</sup>P 2025 state legislative agenda. Advisory Board members asked questions and provided feedback on the draft agenda.

#### <u>Recommendation on Incorporating Universal Design Principles into Members'</u> <u>Comprehensive Plans</u>

Mary Connolly, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Program Specialist II, provided a presentation on a draft recommendation from staff on incorporating goals/policies incentivizing building with universal design into member governments' Comprehensive Plan periodic updates. This recommendation is meant to serve as an interim recommendation made before member governments adopt their Comprehensive Plan updates by the end of 2024. Staff expect the Advisory Board to continue work on developing

recommendations on specific universal design incentive policies. Advisory Board members provided feedback on staff's draft recommendation. Mary will use this feedback to make edits to the recommendation, which she will send to the Advisory Board members via email within the next month for additional feedback. Then she will bring the draft recommendation to the Executive Board for their feedback at their next meeting on September 9/13. The Advisory Board will consider making the recommendation at their next meeting on September 9/17.

#### Universal Design Outreach Materials

Mary Connolly, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Program Specialist II, presented final drafts of a community outreach plan and survey regarding universal design. SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Advisory Board members provided final feedback on the plan and survey. Mary will use feedback to finalize the plan and survey and conduct the survey and focus groups.

#### **Public Comment**

There were no public comments.

#### SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Staff Update

Mary let Advisory Board members know that there will be a property tax exemption seminar at University Place City Hall on September 25.

#### Updates/Comments of the Advisory Board

Adria told Advisory Board members to save the date for the Out of the Box Housing Conference on October 22 at TACID from 10-2.

Nicholas let Advisory Board members know that Tacoma Housing Authority is leasing up at Housing Hilltop. Nicholas will send Mary the latest flyer to send out to the Board.

#### Adjourn

Faaluaina moved to adjourn the meeting. Nicholas seconded the motion. The motion carried with 11 in favor, 0 against, and 0 abstentions (2 members had left the meeting). The meeting adjourned at 7:10 PM.

#### SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Advisory Board

#### AGENDA BILL

September 17, 2024

AGENDA CATEGORY:	Resolution No. 2024-07
SUBJECT:	Recommendation on A Comprehensive Plan Goal/Policy Related to Universal Design
PRESENTED BY:	Mary Connolly, SSHA <sup>3</sup> P Program Specialist II

#### SUMMARY/BACKGROUND:

The Advisory Board's 2024 work plan includes evaluating and potentially making a recommendation on the inclusion of universal design incentives in the SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Housing Toolkit, which is a set of policies and programs that SSHA<sup>3</sup>P recommends to its members to consider implementing. The Advisory Board is developing a policy recommendation(s) to incentivize the construction of residential units with universal design principles.

Member governments planning under RCW 36.70A.040 are currently updating their Comprehensive Plans, which will guide housing policies and plans over a 20-year time horizon. These Comprehensive Plan periodic updates are to be completed by December 31, 2024.

At the Advisory Board meeting on August 20, 2024, Mary Connolly presented a draft recommendation regarding incorporating universal design into member governments' Comprehensive Plan periodic updates. Advisory Board members provided feedback during the meeting and via email, which Mary incorporated into an updated recommendation. In this presentation, Mary will present the updated recommendation to the Advisory Board for their consideration.

This is meant to serve as an interim recommendation before the Comprehensive Plan is due to be completed. Staff expect the Advisory Board to continue research on specific incentive policies.

#### ATTACHMENTS:

- Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07
- Staff Report: Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07
- Executive Board Resolution No. 2024-07 Presentation

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend to the Executive Board adoption of Resolution No. 2024-07.

#### ALTERNATIVES:

- Recommend adoption as amended.
- Do not recommend adoption.

"I move to recommend to the Executive Board adoption of Resolution No. 2024-07."

## **SSHĀ**<sup>®</sup>P

1	<b>RESOLUTION NO. 2024-07</b>					
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A RESOLUTION OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE SOUTH SOUND HOUSING AFFORDABILITY PARTNERS ADOPTING A RECOMMENDATION THAT MEMBER GOVERNMENTS PLANNING UNDER RCW 36.70A.040 INCLUDE A POLICY INCENTIVIZING CONSTRUCTION OF HOUSING WITH UNIVERSAL DESIGN PRINCIPLES IN THEIR 2024 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PERIODIC UPDATES.					
12	WHEREAS, the SSHA <sup>3</sup> P 2024 Advisory Board Work Plan, adopted by the					
13	Executive Board on January 12, 2024, included analyzing and making a					
14	recommendation to the SSHA <sup>3</sup> P Executive Board on inclusion of "universal design					
15	incentives" in SSHA <sup>3</sup> P's housing toolkit; and					
16	WHEREAS, the SSHA <sup>3</sup> P housing toolkit is a set of policies and programs that					
17	SSHA <sup>3</sup> P recommends to its member governments to consider implementing; and					
18	WHEREAS, according to the Centre for Excellence in Universal Design,					
19	"Universal Design is the design and composition of an environment so that it can be					
20	accessed, understood and used to the greatest extent possible by all people regardless					
21	of their age, size, ability or disability"; and					
22	WHEREAS, by the end of 2024, SSHA <sup>3</sup> P member governments planning under					
23	RCW 36.70A.040 must adopt a Comprehensive Plan Periodic Update, which includes					
24	a housing element that sets goals and policies for housing development in each					
25	jurisdiction for the next 20 years; and					
26	WHEREAS, all policies adopted by a member government must be in alignment					
27	with the government's Comprehensive Plan; and					

Resolution No. 2024-07 MONTH DAY, 2024 Page 1 of 5

WHEREAS, the Executive Board is making an interim recommendation 28 regarding member governments' Comprehensive Plan Periodic Updates to ensure that 29 potential future recommendations regarding specific universal design incentives are in 30 alignment with member governments' Comprehensive Plans; and 31 WHEREAS, there is a need for accessible homes in Pierce County 32 demonstrated by usage of programs that fund accessibility modifications for existing 33 homes, such as the COPES Program (a Washington State Medicaid Waiver Program 34 which funded 156 modifications in Pierce County from 2021 to 2023); and 35 WHEREAS, there are no policies or programs in Pierce County that incentivize 36 or fund the inclusion of accessibility features in the new construction of residential 37 homes beyond accessibility requirements in the Washington State Building Code; and 38 39 WHEREAS, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P's mission is to create and preserve affordable, attainable, and accessible housing across our Pierce County communities; and 40 WHEREAS, policies that incentivize the construction of housing designed with 41 universal design principles will further SSHA<sup>3</sup>P's mission to create accessible housing 42 in Pierce County; and 43 WHEREAS, the construction of accessible housing will increase the housing 44 stock accessible for a wide range of the Pierce County population and especially benefit 45 people with disabilities and people with mobility impairments. 46 47 NOW, THEREFORE, THE EXECUTIVE BOARD RESOLVES as follows: 48 The Executive Board recommends that SSHA<sup>3</sup>P member Section 1. 49 governments planning under RCW 36.70A.040 incorporate into the 50 Comprehensive Plan periodic update the following goal/policy or one similar: 51 "Increase the supply of housing accessible for people of all ages, sizes, abilities, 52

Resolution No. 2024-07 MONTH DAY, 2024 Page 2 of 5

55

60

61 62

63

64

65

66 67

68

69

70

71 72

73

74

75

76

77 78

and disabilities by incentivizing the construction of housing designed with
 universal design principles."

56 **Section 2.** The Executive Board recommends that SSHA<sup>3</sup>P member 57 governments planning under RCW 36.70A.040 incorporate into the 58 Comprehensive Plan periodic update the following narrative pieces, or ones 59 similar, to provide context for the goal/policy outlined in Section 1:

- a. "Pierce County's aging population is growing. In 2020, the percentage of the Pierce County population aged 60 and older was 21%.<sup>1</sup> This number is expected to increase to 24% by 2030, 26% by 2040, and 29% by 2050.<sup>2</sup> In 2022, the median household income with a householder 65 or older was \$68,231, \$25,189 less than the county-wide median household income of \$93,420.<sup>3</sup>"
- b. "In Pierce County, 14% of the population has a disability.<sup>4</sup> In 2022, the percentage of people with a disability in Pierce County whose household earned below the poverty level was 14.9%, compared to a county-wide rate of 9.0%.<sup>5</sup>"
- c. "The 2011 American Housing Survey results indicate that in the West Region of the United States, which includes Washington and 13 other states, 41.2% of housing units are potentially modifiable, 4.5% of units are livable for individuals with moderate mobility difficulties, and 0.15% of housing units are wheelchair accessible.<sup>6</sup>"
- 79d. "Incentivizing the construction of housing built with universal design80features can increase the accessibility of the housing stock. According81to the Centre for Excellence in Universal Design, 'Universal Design is82the design and composition of an environment so that it can be83accessed, understood and used to the greatest extent possible by all84people regardless of their age, size, ability or disability.' Universal

<sup>1</sup> 2020 Decennial Census

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Washington State Office of Financial Management population projections

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 2022 American Community Survey 1-year estimates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 2022 American Community Survey 1-year estimates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 2022 American Community Survey 1-year estimates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See <u>https://www.huduser.gov/portal/sites/default/files/pdf/accessibility-america-housingStock.pdf</u> p. 26 of report (p. 31 of PDF). The 2011 American Housing Survey defines levels of accessibility as follows: 1) 'potentially modifiable' means the unit includes a stepless entry from the exterior and a bathroom and bedroom on the entry level or an elevator in the unit; 2) 'livable for individuals with moderate mobility difficulties' includes all elements in level 1 plus no steps between rooms or rails/grab bars along all steps and an accessible bathroom with grab bars; and 3) 'wheelchair accessible' includes all elements in levels 1 and 2 but removes the possibility of any steps between rooms, even if grab bars are present, and adds door handles and sink handles/levers.

85 86 87 88		design, can o population ar	lesign philosophy that, w create homes that are ac nd especially benefit peo impairments."	ccessible for a wide ra	nge of the
89 90	e.		a standardized list of ur	5	
91			ample design standards		
92		•	, nonprofit organizations	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
93			those included in the de		
94			rds outline structural and	a electrical infrastructu	ire that
95		facilitate futu	re modifications."		
96	r	"			
97	t.		o increasing housing ac		
98			sign features can increas		
99			e need for future home		
100			that otherwise may be		
101			nain in their home as the		health
102		concerns, or	experience other life ev	ents."	
103		<i>"</i>			
104	g.		te the construction of ho		
105			ples, actions will be take		
106			needed most in the com	-	
107			e inclusion of these feat	ures in construction of	fnew
108		homes."			
109	_				
110	<u>Section</u>		solution will take effect a	nd be in full force upor	n passage
111	and sign	ature.			
112					
113 114					
114 115	Adopted	thie	day of	, 2024.	
115	Adopted	uns		, 2024.	
110					
			AFFORDABILITY PAR	INEDO	
118	300111 300M			INLING	
119 120					
120					
121					
122					
123	Kim Roscoe, CH	AIR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
124					
126					
127					
128					
129					
	Resolution No. 20	24-07			

## **SSHĀ**<sup>®</sup>P

130	ATTEST:	
131		
132		
133		
134		
135		

TO:	SSHA <sup>3</sup> P Advisory Board
FROM:	Mary Connolly, SSHA <sup>3</sup> P Program Specialist II
COPY:	Jason Gauthier, SSHA <sup>3</sup> P Manager
SUBJECT:	Resolution No. 2024-07: Recommendation on Comprehensive Plan Goal/Policy Language
	Incentivizing Universal Design
DATE:	September 17, 2024

#### **BACKGROUND:**

A growing portion of the Pierce County population needs housing that is accessible to them or that can be adapted in the future to be more accessible. Table 1 shows that the percentage of the population in Pierce County aged 60 and older is expected to increase from 22% in 2020 to 24% in 2030, 26% in 2040, and 29% in 2050.

Year	Percentage of the population in Pierce County aged 60 and older
2010	16%
2020	21%
2030 (projected)	24%
2040 (projected)	26%
2050 (projected)	29%

Table 1. Actual and Projected Population of People in Pierce County aged 60 and Older<sup>1</sup>

In 2022, the percentage of people 65 and older in Pierce County whose household incomes were below the poverty level (7.8%) was lower than the county-wide poverty rate (9.0%).<sup>2</sup> However, the median household income with a householder 65 or older was \$68,231, \$25,189 less than the county-wide median household income of \$93,420.<sup>3</sup>

Fourteen percent (14%) of the Pierce County population has a disability. Table 2 breaks down this figure by disability type.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2010 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates; 2020 Decennial Census; 2030, 2040, and 2050 population projections are from Washington State Office of Financial Management.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2022 American Community Survey 1-year estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 2022 American Community Survey 1-year estimates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 2022 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates.

able 2.1 optiation of reopie in refere county with Disabilities, by Disability Type			
Disability	Percentage of the population with this disability		
Hearing Difficulty	4% of all residents		
Vision Difficulty	2% of all residents		
Cognitive Difficulty <sup>5</sup>	6% of all residents age 5+		
Ambulatory Difficulty	7% of all residents age 5+		
Self-Care Difficulty <sup>6</sup>	3% of all residents age 5+		
Independent Living Difficulty <sup>7</sup>	7% of all residents age 18+		

**Table 2.** Population of People in Pierce County with Disabilities, by Disability Type

In 2022, the percentage of people with a disability in Pierce County whose household earned below the poverty level was 14.9%, compared to a county-wide rate of 9.0%.<sup>8</sup>

#### **EXISTING CONDITIONS:**

#### **Federal and State Law**

The Washington State Building Code includes accessibility requirements for the construction of new buildings with 4 or more units. These requirements are meant to make some units more accessible to people who use manual wheelchairs. Specifically, on a site with 10 or more units, 5% of units must be Type A, which are considered friendly to wheelchair users. Additionally, all units in a building with 4 or more units that are accessible via the ground floor or elevator must be Type B units, which are considered useable by but not friendly to someone in a wheelchair. (For example, there must be enough room for a wheelchair user to enter a bathroom, but not necessarily completely turn around in the wheelchair.)

There are no accessibility requirements for the construction of new one-, two-, and three-unit homes, townhomes built under the International Residential Code, and multifamily units on floors without ground-floor or elevator access.

Under the Fair Housing Act, people with disabilities have the right to reasonable accommodations and modifications to ensure they can access, use, and enjoy their home. Accommodations are changes to rules, policies, and practices; modifications include structural changes to the home. However, unless a housing provider receives federal funding and is therefore subject to Section 504, a tenant may be required to pay for reasonable modifications to their home.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cognitive Difficulty: has serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Self-Care Difficulty: has difficulty dressing or bathing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Independent Living Difficulty: has difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 2022 American Community Survey 1-year estimates.

<sup>9</sup> 

https://www.hud.gov/program offices/fair housing equal opp/reasonable accommodations and modifications/information \_for housing providers landlords property managers

#### **Existing Programs**

There are currently no programs to incentivize or fund the inclusion of additional accessibility features beyond state and federal requirements in new construction.

There are several programs in Pierce County offering funding or low-interest loans for low and moderate-income households to make critical home repairs and accessibility modifications to their homes. These programs primarily serve homeowners, rather than renting households. Table 3 includes information on these programs.

Program	Description	Data
Community Options Program Entry System (COPES) Program, administered by Pierce County	A Washington State Medicaid Waiver Program providing at-home modifications for people who need nursing-level home care. Serving Pierce County residents.	<ul> <li>From 2021 – 2023:</li> <li>Average number of households served per year: 52</li> <li>Average spent per household: \$11,032</li> <li>Average spent per year: \$573,687</li> </ul>
City of Lakewood Home Repair Assistance	Low-interest loans for low and moderate-income homeowners to fund repairs to address health and safety issues, code violations, and other problems. <sup>10</sup> Serving City of Lakewood residents.	<ul> <li>Approximated by staff:</li> <li>Approx. households served per year: 6-8</li> <li>Approx. funded per year: \$200,000</li> </ul>
City of Tacoma Single Family Residence Rehabilitation Loan Program	Low-interest loans of up to up to \$60,000 for low and moderate-income homeowners (earning up to 80% AMI <sup>11</sup> ) to make repairs necessary to maintain health and safety of the home. <sup>12</sup> Serving City of Tacoma residents.	Not collected
Pierce County Home Rehabilitation Loan Program	This program, which offered low-interest loans of up to \$80,000 for home rehabilitation, is being closed due to lack of funding. At the time of closing, there are about 90 applicants on the waitlist.	Not collected

Table 3. Programs Funding Critica	ll Home Repairs and Accessibilit	y Modifications in Pierce County
-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>https://cityoflakewood.us/community-housing-programs/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Area Median Income

<sup>12</sup> 

https://www.cityoftacoma.org/government/city\_departments/community\_and\_economic\_development/housing\_division/ho me\_rehabilitation

Pierce County Minor Home Repair Program	Repairs provided at no cost to homeowners earning up to 80% AMI. There is a maximum budget of \$15,000 per home lifetime. Serving residents of Pierce County outside of the Cities of Tacoma and Lakewood.	<ul> <li>From July 2020 – June 2024:</li> <li>Average number of households served per year: 93</li> <li>Average spent per household: \$5,590</li> <li>Average spent per year: \$519,907</li> </ul>
Rebuilding Together South Sound	Provides no-cost home repairs and modifications to low-income (earning up to 50% AMI) homeowners in Pierce County, Auburn, and Federal Way. Applicants must include a senior, a person with a disability, or a family with children. <sup>13</sup> There are currently 145 applicants waiting for services. The organization has paused accepting applications for the service area, except for the City of Lakewood.	<ul> <li>Over the last 2 years:<sup>14</sup></li> <li>Average number of households served per year: 93</li> <li>Average spent per household: \$5,430</li> <li>Average spent per year: \$491,350</li> </ul>
Tacoma/Pierce County Habitat for Humanity Aging in Place Critical Home Repair Program	Provides no-cost aging in place home modifications and critical repairs for low-income (earning up to 80% AMI) senior (aged 60+) and disabled veteran homeowners in Pierce County. <sup>15</sup>	<ul> <li>From June 2020 (the start of the program) to July 2024:</li> <li>Average number of households served per year: 44</li> <li>Average spent per household: \$7,500</li> <li>Average spent per year: \$330,000</li> </ul>

Data from some of these programs, as well as reasonable modification/accommodation request data from Tacoma Housing Authority, Pierce County, and the Fair Housing Center of Washington, show that common home modifications/accommodations include:

- Requests for a unit with an extra bedroom due to a disability, for a live-in caregiver, or for medical equipment;
- Ramp installation and requests for a ground floor or no stairs unit;
- Bathroom modifications, including low or no barrier showers, grab bars, taller toilets, and handheld showerheads;
- Installation of lift systems;
- Air conditioner or air purifiers; and
- Assigned parking, which often indicates a need for accessible parking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> <u>https://www.rebuildingtogetherss.org/safe-and-healthy-housing</u>

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 14}$  These data do not include the value of in-kind donations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> <u>https://www.tpc-habitat.org/habitat-programs/repair/</u>

While not as common, other modifications/accommodations from these programs include:

- Door modifications, including widening and installation of different door types;
- Mechanized lifts for stairs;
- Handrails in hallways or stairs;
- Floor and surfacer repair, including correcting trip hazards; and
- Other bathroom modifications, such as roll-under sinks and shower seats.

#### Alignment with Member Government Policies and Plans

The Cities of Tacoma<sup>16</sup> and Puyallup<sup>17</sup> adopted age-friendly city action plans in 2021 and 2020 respectively, which outline policies that make the cities better places to live for people of all ages, especially aging people. Several member jurisdictions have included goals and policies in their <u>draft</u> 2024 Comprehensive Plan periodic updates related to housing accessibility. A summary of these policies can be found in Table 4.

#### Table 4. Draft Comprehensive Plan Policies

Goal/Policy	SSHA <sup>3</sup> P member governments that have included a similar policy		
	in their 2024 draft Comprehensive Plan periodic updates		
Encourage building housing with	City of Lakewood		
universal design features	City of Sumner		
	Pierce County		
	City of Puyallup		
	City of University Place		
Ensure there are housing options	City of Auburn		
for seniors and/or people with	City of Fircrest		
disabilities	City of Gig Harbor		
	City of Lakewood		
	City of Sumner		
	Pierce County		
	The Puyallup Tribe of Indians		
	City of Puyallup		
	City of University Place		
Support residents to age in place	City of Auburn		
	City of Fircrest		
	The Puyallup Tribe of Indians <sup>18</sup>		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> <u>https://www.cityoftacoma.org/UserFiles/Servers/Server\_6/File/cms/OEHR/Tacoma\_Age\_Friendly\_Action\_Plan-v2Final.pdf</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> <u>https://www.cityofpuyallup.org/DocumentCenter/View/12552/Aging-Puyallup-Action-Plan-2-2120AARP</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The Puyallup Tribe of Indians' Comprehensive Plan is adopted, not in draft form like the others' plans.

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION(S):**

Recommend to the Executive Board adoption of Resolution No. 2024-07. This resolution is a recommendation from the Executive Board to member governments planning under the Growth Management Act to include a goal/policy in the Comprehensive Plan periodic update that incentivizes the construction of housing built with universal design principles. The recommendation also includes narrative pieces that provide context for the goal/policy.

Staff expect the Advisory Board to make additional recommendations in the future on specific incentive policies that can be used to implement the recommended Comprehensive Plan goal/policy.

This report summarizes SSHA<sup>3</sup>P staff's analysis of the recommendation, along with alternatives considered but not recommended.

#### **INDEX:**

- Incorporating Goal/Policy Language into the Comprehensive Plan Periodic Update to Incentivize Building with Universal Design Principles (p. 7)
- Alternatives Considered (p. 14)

#### **POLICY/PROGRAM:**

Incorporating Goal/Policy Language into the Comprehensive Plan Periodic Update to Incentivize Building with Universal Design Principles

#### SUMMARY AND PURPOSE:

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P member governments planning under RCW 36.70A.040 (the Growth Management Act) must adopt Comprehensive Plan periodic updates by the end of 2024. The Comprehensive Plan includes goals and policies which will guide housing policy and development regulations over the next 20 years to ensure there is sufficient housing for households across the economic spectrum. This is a recommendation to include in member governments' periodic updates the following goal/policy, or one similar:

"Increase the supply of housing accessible for people of all ages, sizes, abilities, and disabilities by incentivizing the construction of housing designed with universal design principles."

The recommendation also includes narrative pieces to include in the Comprehensive Plan to provide context for the goal/policy, including information on the aging and disabled populations in Pierce County, the accessibility of the housing stock in Pierce County, what universal design is, and the benefits of incentivizing building with universal design.

The purpose of this recommendation is to increase the supply of housing that is accessible to people of a wide range of ages, sizes, abilities, and disabilities.

#### About Universal Design

According to the Centre for Excellence in Universal Design, "Universal Design is the design and composition of an environment so that it can be accessed, understood and used to the greatest extent possible by all people regardless of their age, size, ability or disability." The Centre outlines 7 principles of universal design that guide the design of environments, products, and communications:

- 1. Equitable Use
- 2. Flexibility in Use
- 3. Simple and Intuitive Use
- 4. Perceptible Information
- 5. Tolerance for Error
- 6. Low Physical Effort
- 7. Size and Space for Approach and Use

Universal design is a design philosophy; therefore, there is not a standardized set of universal design features to incentivize. However, there are many example features and standards to look to in developing policies regarding universal design. These resources include features and standards that address the needs of people of a wide range of ages, sizes, abilities, and disabilities. Examples of such features and standards include:

- A no-step entry into the home;
- A bathroom on the main floor of a home;
- An outlet at the base of the stairs for future installation of a stair lift;
- Removable cabinets so that a wheelchair user can roll under countertops;
- Blocking near toilets and showers for the future installation of grab bars;
- Visual fire alarms and doorbells to alert people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing;
- Rocker light switches and door levers, which are easier to use for people who have trouble twisting, grasping, or pinching; and
- Electrical outlets, temperature controls, and light switches at accessible heights.

#### DATA & STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT:

What data were used to inform the development of this proposal?

- Demographic data from Census and American Community Survey
- Data from home repair programs in Pierce County
- Data on reasonable modification/accommodation requests from Tacoma Housing Authority, Pierce County Housing Authority, and the Fair Housing Center of Washington
- See the table below for information on stakeholder engagement

#### SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Staff Report

## SSHĀP

How were stakeholders engaged in the development of the proposal? Include the names of stakeholders engaged.

	Collaborated (Co-create	Consulted (Gather	Informed (Inform the	Not
	solutions through two-	information through two-	community of actions	included/
	way communication	way channels of	or decisions through	applicable
	channels, multiple	communication, one to	one-way channels of	
	interactions, and/or	multiple interactions,	communication and	
	medium to long-term	and/or short to medium-	limited-term or single	
	engagement)	term engagement)	events)	
Community Based		- Jonah Kinchy,		
Organizations (e.g.,		Tacoma/Pierce County		
advocacy groups, non-		Habitat for Humanity		
profit agencies)		- Todd Holloway, Center		
		for Independence		
		- Cathleen MacCaul,		
		AARP Washington		
Private Sector (e.g.,		- Ryan Babbit, Babbit		
business community,		Construction		
development		- Karen Braitmayer,		
community)		Studio Pacifica		
		- Barry Long and Tom		
		Minty, ABLE		
		Environments		
Local Governments (e.g.,		Beckye Frey, City of	SSHA <sup>3</sup> P Executive	
local government staff or		Redmond	Board and member	
elected officials)			government staff	
Tribal Government			Puyallup Tribe staff	
Advisory Boards or	SSHA <sup>3</sup> P Advisory Board			
Community Workgroups				
General Public				Not
				included
Other, please specify:				

Describe which groups were engaged, as well as support for and concerns raised about the proposed policy or action.

- People with disabilities and representatives from organizations that work with seniors and people with disabilities, including the Fair Housing Center of Washington, Center for Independence, and AARP Washington, emphasized the importance of increasing the use of universal design in the construction of new homes.
- Developers and advocates for developers noted that building with universal design features may increase the cost of home construction and advised against adding construction requirements. Therefore, this recommendation would incentivize rather than require building with universal design principles.

- Jonah Kinchy provided insight on how Tacoma/Pierce County Habitat for Humanity incorporates universal design features into their homes by selecting sites and designing from the start with accessibility in mind.
- Beckye Frey provided information on how the City of Redmond is updating their city's incentive package to incentivize building Type A and B units, housing built with universal design principles, and housing for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

#### **TARGET POPULATION:**

What geographic area(s) will this proposal target?

⊠All Pierce County

□Incorporated Pierce County

 $\Box$  Unincorporated Pierce County

 $\Box$ Urban Areas

 $\Box$ Rural Areas

 $\Box$  Other, please specify:

Select the targeted population(s) who might benefit from this proposal.

 $\Box$  All residents

⊠Targeted population(s), please specify: Seniors, people with disabilities

#### **POTENTIAL IMPACT:**

For each of the following indicators, answer the questions to demonstrate the policy/program's potential impact:

Affordable	What is the potential impact on the number of	Little to no impact.
Housing	housing units created or preserved that are	
	income-restricted and affordable to	
	households with less than 80% AMI?	
Attainable	What is the potential impact on the number of	Little to no impact.
Housing	housing units created or preserved that are	
	affordable to households with incomes	
	between 80 - 120% AMI?	
Other Housing	What is the potential impact on the number of	Little to no impact.
	housing units created that are affordable to	
	households with incomes above 120% AMI?	

Financial Barriers	Is there potential for the policy/program to increase the affordability of accessing and/or maintaining housing through a method other than production or preservation of housing?	Yes, there is potential to increase the affordability of maintaining housing for people in need of accessibility features, including seniors and people with disabilities. Housing built with universal design principles reduces the need for expensive home modifications later, whether by including accessibility features up front or including structural and electrical infrastructure that will facilitate future modifications. Because the policy incentivizes, not requires, building with universal design principles, the policy will <u>not</u> result in a decrease of housing affordability.
Accessibility for	Is there potential for the policy/program to	Yes. The purpose of this policy
People with	increase the number of units that are	recommendation is to incentivize the
Disabilities	accessible to people with physical,	production of housing built with universal
	intellectual, or developmental disabilities?	design principles, which would increase accessibility for people with disabilities.
Social Barriers	Is there potential for the policy/program to	Little to no impact.
	increase accessibility by removing social	•
	barriers to accessing and/or maintaining	
	housing?	
Cultural and	Is there potential for the policy/program to	Little to no impact.
Language	increase accessibility by removing cultural	
Barriers	barriers to accessing and/or maintaining	
	housing?	
Homeownership	Is there potential for the policy/program to	Little to no impact.
	increase homeownership opportunities for	
	low- and moderate- income households?	
Racial Equity	Is there potential for the policy/program to	Little to no impact.
	increase racial equity by decreasing	
	disparities in housing outcomes for people of color?	
Development	How does the policy/program facilitate the	No barriers or additional costs created.
Process	development/ preservation process? Does it	
	create barriers or additional costs?	

Based on data reviewed, stakeholder input, and alternative options considered, how does the proposal most effectively improve equitable outcomes in Pierce County?

• This proposal equitably improves outcomes by laying the framework to incentivize the construction of accessible housing and housing built with universal design features without adding additional

requirements to the construction process which may decrease housing affordability. This policy will result in the creation of more housing that is accessible to Pierce County's disabled and aging populations.

What unintended consequences could result from implementation of the proposal?

• No negative consequences from the proposal are expected.

#### FISCAL:

	Inclusion of a goal/policy related to universal design in the Comprehensive Plan.	Implementation of the Comprehensive Plan goal/policy.
What financial resources (besides staff time) are needed to administer the policy/program?	Not applicable.	The need for financial resources will depend on the incentive implemented. Incentives such as altered development regulations would not require direct financial resources, while incentives like development fee waivers would require direct financial resources.
		Additionally, an implementing jurisdiction may choose to hire a consultant to help design an attractive incentive that maximizes public benefit. Depending on how the contract is structured, hiring a consultant may require ongoing financial resources.
		SSHA <sup>3</sup> P staff expect the Advisory Board to make a recommendation in the future on specific incentives to administer. If made, these recommendations will include more specific details on financial resources required for implementation.
What staff resources are needed to launch the policy/program?	Some additional staff resources are needed to include a goal/policy regarding universal design into a Comprehensive Plan.	Moderate staff resources would be needed to facilitate policy adoption, including designing the incentive policy, drafting a staff report and code amendments, and working with Council, Planning Commission, and/or other advisory bodies to modify and eventually adopt the policy.
		Optionally, hiring a consultant to help design the policy would also require financial resources.
What staff resources are needed for ongoing administration of the program/policy?	Not applicable.	Some additional resources would be needed to implement the policy. The policy would be administered through existing permitting processes. More usage of the policy would require more staff resources.

Are there financial costs or Not applicable. other impacts of not implementing the policy/program?	Incentivizing the construction of housing built with universal design features may reduce future need for home modifications funded by public programs.
---	---

#### **IMPLEMENTATION:**

Not applicable at this time.

#### **EVALUATION AND FOLLOW UP:**

Not applicable at this time.

#### **EXAMPLES:**

Source	Example		
City of Redmond's draft 2024	"Shape regulations, incentives, programs, and more city tools to foster the creation		
Comprehensive Plan periodic update	of accessible and visitable housing."		
	Housing constructed with universal design principles.		
	<ul> <li>Housing for adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Housing for populations with special physical or other needs, which</li> </ul>		
	include: the elderly, disabled persons, people with medical conditions,		
	homeless individuals and families, and displaced people."19		
City of Lakewood's draft 2024	"Support accessibility of housing by people with mobility challenges through		
Comprehensive Plan periodic update	universal design in residential construction and retrofitting of homes." <sup>20</sup>		
Pierce County's draft 2024	"Encourage the development of housing built to universal design standards to		
Comprehensive Plan periodic update	support housing for people of different abilities." <sup>21</sup>		
City of Puyallup's draft 2024	"Encourage a range of housing types for seniors affordable at a variety of income		
Comprehensive Plan periodic update	levels that promote visitability and reduce isolation, and allow seniors to remain in		
	their neighborhood of choice." <sup>22</sup>		

#### ATTACHMENTS:

None.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> https://southsoundaffordablehousing.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/SSHA3P-Advisory-Board-Regular-Meeting-Packet-2.20.2024.pdf p. 54 of PDF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> https://cityoflakewood.us/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/050124-Planning-Commission-Packet-small.pdf p. 106 of PDF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> <u>https://www.piercecountywa.gov/DocumentCenter/View/133288/Housing-Draft-Element p. 27</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> https://puyallup.maps.arcgis.com/sharing/rest/content/items/814f0c4d7a524658b722b1d8afa4e0a9/data p. 17

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Alternative(s)	Positive Impact(s)	Negative Impact(s)
1. Status Quo (No changes)	None.	The status quo will continue to
		see an insufficient number of
		homes built with accessibility
		features that especially benefit
		people with disabilities and aging
		people.
2. Incorporating Goal/Policy	Requiring building with	Requiring all residential housing
Language into the	universal design principles	to be built with universal design
<b>Comprehensive Plan Periodic</b>	would ensure that new	principles may add costs to the
Update to <u>Require</u> Building	residential homes include	construction of some buildings,
with Universal Design	accessibility features.	which may decrease housing
Principles		affordability for those homes.
3. Incorporating Goal/Policy	Encouraging building with	Encouraging without
Language into the	universal design may	incentivizing may not provide
Comprehensive Plan Periodic	educate consumers and	builders with enough value to
Update to <u>Encourage</u> Building	builders of the benefits of	build with universal design
with Universal Design	universal design.	principles.
Principles		



## RECOMMENDATION: INCLUDING UNIVERSAL DESIGN INCENTIVES IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

ADVISORY BOARD REGULAR MEETING

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024

MARY CONNOLLY, PROGRAM SPECIALIST II



## Agenda

- Background
- What is the Comprehensive Plan?
- Purpose of Interim Recommendation
- Feedback from Advisory Board
- Recommendation for Consideration
- Next Steps



## Background

- 2024 Advisory Board work plan includes research and potential recommendation on including "universal design incentives" in the SSHA<sup>3</sup>P housing toolkit
- Advisory Board will continue research to develop specific incentive policies:
  - Community outreach
  - Impact of universal design on cost and square footage
  - Determining what and how to incentivize
- In the meantime, member governments planning under the Growth Management Act are updating their Comprehensive Plans



## What is the Comprehensive Plan?

- Lays foundation for policy development over the next 20 years in many policy areas, including housing
- Future policies and development regulations <u>must</u> be in alignment with the Comprehensive Plan
- Periodic updates (which occur every 10 years) are due December 31, 2024
- Housing "element" (chapter) includes:
  - Narrative, context, data
  - High-level goals and policies to be implemented over the next 20 years



## **Purpose of Interim Recommendation**

• To provide member governments with example language for their Comprehensive Plan updates, due December 31, 2024, that lays a foundation for future adoption of policies that incentivize building with universal design



## Feedback from Advisory Board

- Goal/policy should capture the spirit of universal design by highlighting the benefit to all people
- Include narrative pieces to provide context:
  - Data on senior and disabled populations
  - Accessibility of housing stock
  - Impact on housing affordability
  - Clarify that additional actions must be taken to understand what and how to incentivize



## **Recommendation for Consideration**

The Executive Board recommends that SSHA<sup>3</sup>P member governments planning under RCW 36.70A.040 incorporate into the Comprehensive Plan periodic update the following goal/policy or one similar:

"Increase the supply of housing accessible for people of all ages, sizes, abilities, and disabilities by incentivizing the construction of housing designed with universal design principles."



# Recommendation for Consideration (Cont.)

- Recommendation includes narrative pieces:
  - Data on senior and disabled populations in Pierce County
  - Data on housing accessibility
  - Explanation of universal design and its benefits
  - Commitment to further action to research what and how to incentivize



## **Next Steps**

- Today: Advisory Board consideration of recommendation to Executive Board to adopt Resolution No. 2024-07
- Oct 4: Executive Board consideration of Advisory Board recommendation
- Ongoing: Advisory Board continues research on specific incentive policies, potentially making recommendations in early 2025





# RECOMMENDATION: INCLUDING UNIVERSAL DESIGN INCENTIVES IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

ADVISORY BOARD REGULAR MEETING

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024

MARY CONNOLLY, PROGRAM SPECIALIST II



## SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Advisory Board

#### **AGENDA BILL**

September 17, 2024

AGENDA CATEGORY: Staff Presentation

SUBJECT: 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan Priorities

PRESENTED BY: Mary Connolly, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Program Specialist II

#### SUMMARY/BACKGROUND:

Resolution No. 2023-02, which established the Advisory Board states, "Under the advice and direction of the Executive Board, the Advisory Board shall create a work plan annually that outlines the Advisory Board's work areas. The work plan shall be adopted by the Executive Board."

This presentation includes a draft of the 2025 Advisory Board work plan. Staff are seeking feedback from the Advisory Board on policy priorities for research for potential recommendation on inclusion in the SSHA<sup>3</sup>P housing toolkit.

#### ATTACHMENTS:

- Draft 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan Presentation
- 2024 Advisory Board Work Plan

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

#### **RECOMMENDED MOTION:**

N/A



# 2025 ADVISORY BOARD WORK PLAN PRIORITIES

ADVISORY BOARD REGULAR MEETING

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024

MARY CONNOLLY, PROGRAM SPECIALIST II



# Agenda

- Draft 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan
- Work Plan Development Process
- Feedback from Staff Workgroup
- Potential Policies to Research
- Next Steps



# DRAFT 2025 Advisory Board Work Plan

- Review and provide feedback on:
  - 2026 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Work Plan and Budget
  - 2026 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P State and Federal Legislative Agendas
  - Other housing-related efforts in Pierce County, as requested
- Research and potentially make a recommendation on the inclusion of the following policies/programs in the SSHA<sup>3</sup>P housing toolkit:
  - Policies to incentivize construction of housing with universal design principles

Carryover

• [Policy/Program #2]

Staff are looking for your feedback on this item today



# Work Plan Development Process

- Last year ...
  - Advisory Board lacked clear direction on policies of interest for inclusion in work plan
  - Advisory Board generated policy ideas and scored them on criteria
- This year ...
  - Staff are seeking clear feedback/direction from staff workgroup and Executive Board to identify goals/policies where it would be helpful to have Advisory Board feedback



# Feedback from Staff Workgroup

- Policies to incentivize development of multifamily housing
- Policies to incentivize development of homeownership opportunities
- Policies to mitigate displacement of residents



# **Potential Policies to Research**

Goals	Potential Policies to Research
Incentivize development of multifamily housing	Multifamily Tax Exemption (MFTE)
	System connection charge waiver for affordable housing
	<ul> <li>Impact fee waiver for affordable housing</li> </ul>
Incentivize development of homeownership opportunities	<ul> <li>Multifamily Tax Exemption (MFTE)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>System connection charge waiver for affordable housing</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Impact fee waiver for affordable housing</li> </ul>
Mitigate displacement of residents	<ul> <li>Right of First Refusal for affordable housing development/preservation</li> </ul>



# **Next Steps**

- Sept Oct: Discussion with Advisory Board to create draft work plan
- Nov 1: Feedback from Executive Board on draft work plan
- Nov 19: Advisory Board recommends work plan
- Dec 6: Executive Board considers adoption of recommended work plan

Ongoing discussion with staff workgroup at monthly meetings





# 2025 ADVISORY BOARD WORK PLAN PRIORITIES

ADVISORY BOARD REGULAR MEETING

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024

MARY CONNOLLY, PROGRAM SPECIALIST II





#### Advisory Board 2024 Work Plan

#### Advise and Provide Feedback

- Provide feedback on:
  - 2025 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Work Plan
  - 2025 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Budget
  - 2025 SSHA<sup>3</sup>P State and Federal Legislative Priorities
  - Other housing-related efforts in Pierce County, as requested

#### Housing Toolkit Recommendations

- Framework for Analysis and Recommendation
  - Develop a framework for analyzing policies and programs for inclusion in the Housing Toolkit
- Analyze and make a recommendation to the SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Executive Board on inclusion in SSHA<sup>3</sup>P's housing toolkit:
  - Universal Design Incentives

#### Education

• Learn about relevant and foundational topics related to housing and land use policy through presentations from qualified speakers

#### Administration

- Member Government Consideration
  - Consider recommended process for SSHA<sup>3</sup>P member governments to consider Housing Toolkit recommendations
- Elect chair and vice chair(s)



South Sound Housing Affordability Partners

TO: SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Executive Board
FROM: Jason Gauthier, SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Manager
SUBJECT: September 2024 Manager Report
DATE: September 13, 2024

### Focus Area 1: Facilitate the Development of Affordable Housing

Coordinate public resources and private resources to create and/or preserve affordable housing in the SSHA<sup>3</sup>P service area.

#### SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Housing Capital Fund

The SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Housing Capital Fund Committee will begin monthly meetings in September to consider changes to its future funding priorities and application timeline.

### Focus Area 2: Support Policy & Planning Efforts

Support member governments in their development of locally appropriate policies and programs to meet their housing goals, including working with our state and federal legislative delegations to ensure appropriate funding is made available.

#### Coordinating Low-Income Housing Planning (CLIHP) Grant

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P, in partnership with the Cities and Towns of DuPont, Edgewood, Fife, Fircrest, Gig Harbor, Lakewood, Puyallup, Steilacoom, and University Place, was awarded the Washington State Department of Commerce's <u>Low-Income Housing Planning (CLIHP) Grant</u> to support implementation of <u>HB 1998</u> (2024) regarding co-living housing.

While the initial Request for Proposals (RFP) for a consultant to support development of grant deliverables due in June 2025 received no response, a re-bid of the RFP received 5 responses after SSHA<sup>3</sup>P staff issued a re-bid of the RFP with adjustments to proposal requirement and notification methods. An evaluation team consisting of 4 staff members from participating jurisdictions scored the responses to the RFP and will interview the top-scoring applicants the week of September 9<sup>th</sup>.

#### Pathways to Removing Obstacles (PRO) Grant

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P, as a co-applicant, is working with Pierce County on an application on a Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) PRO Housing Grant. If awarded, this grant would provide funding to create a Strategy for the Preservation of Affordable Housing (SPAH) across Pierce County and the creation of a capital fund to support that strategy through:

- Acquisition of Existing Housing for Conversion to Affordable Housing: Purchasing market-rate housing for use as affordable units to ensure long-term affordability for current and residents.
- Funding the Acquisition of Manufactured Home Communities by Resident-Owned Cooperatives: Providing financial support for residents of manufactured home communities to collectively purchase and own the land beneath their homes, thereby securing their housing and stabilizing costs.



South Sound Housing Affordability Partners

• Acquisition of Properties with Expiring Affordability Periods: Purchasing properties where the affordability period mandated by public financing programs (ex: LITHC) is coming to an end, in order to preserve the affordability of the housing units and prevent them from transitioning to market rates.

#### **Comprehensive Planning**

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P staff are supporting staff workgroup members in hosting meetings for planners in Pierce County to collaborate on housing efforts related to Comprehensive Planning and state legislation. The next meeting will be on September 27<sup>th</sup>.

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P staff supported the City of University Place in developing a survey to gather feedback from residents on implementation of HB 1110 regarding middle housing.

#### 2025 Legislative Session

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P staff will present a draft 2025 State Legislative Agenda for Executive Board feedback and direction at the September 13, 2024, special meeting of the Executive Board.

### Focus Area 3: Information & Engagement

Provide information and engagement to support the development of housing and access to housing support programs.

#### SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Website

The SSHA<sup>3</sup>P website has been undergoing an update and refresh, including the completion of the 2023 affordable housing production mapping.

#### **Property Tax Exemption Seminars**

One upcoming property tax exemption seminar is scheduled in University Place on September 25 at 2:00 PM.

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P staff worked with Pierce County Communications staff to create a webpage with information on all upcoming seminars: <u>https://www.piercecountywa.gov/8310/Property-Tax-Exemption-Seminars</u>

### Focus Area 4: Governance & Administration

Ensure operational commitments are met and the interlocal collaboration is well governed and administered.

#### SSHA<sup>3</sup>P Advisory Board

At the Advisory Board meeting on August 20:

• Jason Gauthier presented the first draft the 2025 legislative agenda and requested additional feedback from Advisory Board members;



South Sound Housing Affordability Partners

- The Advisory Board discussed a potential recommendation regarding incorporating language related to universal design into the Comprehensive Plan; and
- The Advisory Board provided final feedback on a plan to gather feedback from Pierce County community members on the need for universal design features in new residential construction.

At the Advisory Board meeting on September 17:

- Ben Ferguson, member of the Advisory Board and principal at Ferguson Architecture, will present to the Board an evaluation by his team of the impact of universal design features on square footage of units and cost of construction;
- The Advisory Board will consider a recommendation to the Executive Board regarding incorporating universal design goals/policies into member governments' Comprehensive Plan; and
- Staff will provide a presentation on the Advisory Board's 2025 work plan for the purpose of gathering feedback.

#### **Executive Board Rules & Procedures**

SSHA<sup>3</sup>P staff are continuing a review of the Rules & Procedure of the Executive Board for potential 2024 amendments. Review will focus efforts on clarifying responsibilities of the Chair, scheduling of meetings, creation of committees and tasks forces, and Executive Board decision making via resolution.





## Learn how to save money on property taxes at a

# **Property Tax Exemption Seminar**

### Wednesday, September 25 | 2 p.m.

University Place City Hall 3609 Market Place W, University Place Council Chambers, 3rd Floor



# **Event Information**

Join us for a property tax exemption seminar on September 25, 2024, at 2 p.m., at University Place City Hall. The Pierce County Assessor's Office will be here to answer your questions and provide valuable information at this free event. Topics include income categories, appeal of denials and effects of death or retirement.

You may qualify for this exemption if you:

- Are over 61 years old or fully disabled (80% VA) and
- Have gross household income at or below \$64,000

## **Application Support**

Learn how to accurately complete and return your application. Find out what defines your 'residence' and other occupancy requirements.

### **Taxable Income and Veterans**

Learn the differences between taxable and non-taxable income. SS5256 will be explained for veterans. If you're receiving certain military benefits, find out if they will be deducted from your disposable income.

## Allowable Deductions

Learn what you, your spouse, co-tenant, or domestic partner can and cannot deduct.

# LET US KNOW IF YOU PLAN TO JOIN. RSVP BY CONTACTING: Mary.Connolly@piercecountywa.gov | 253-625-4153

Additional information about programs like Energy Assistance, Home Repair, Aging and Disability Resources, and Veterans services will be available at this event.